

THIS IS AN OPTIONAL HOMEWORK TO REPLACE A PREVIOUS HOMEWORK GRADE

1. Explain why the following code snippet is **not** atomic?

5/3/1/0

```
balance = balance + 1
```

2. In the following code snippet what is the expected output of the program? Is the expected output consistent across multiple runs of the program? Explain?

5/5/3/0

```
int shared;

void * fun(void * args){
    int i;
    for(i=0;i<100;i++){
        shared++;
    }
    return NULL;
}

int main(){
    pthread_t t1,t2;

    pthread_create(&t1, NULL, fun, NULL);
    pthread_create(&t2, NULL, fun, NULL);

    pthread_join(t1, NULL);
    pthread_join(t2, NULL);

    printf("shared: %d\n", shared);_
}
```

3. In the above code snippet **circle** the critical section. Below, explain describe a critical section.

5/3/1/0

5/3/1/0

4. Consider the naïve locking solution used for the thread startup routine from the previous program: Does this provide proper locking? Why or why not, explain.

```
int shared;
int lock;
void * fun(void * args){
    int i;

    for(i=0;i<100;i++){

        while(lock > 0); //spin

        lock = 1; //set lock

        shared++; //increment

        lock = 0; //unlock

    }

    return NULL;
}
```

5/3/1/0

5. Explain why using a **mutex** avoids issues of a lack of atomicity in lock acquisition?

7/5/3/0

6. Which type of locking strategy, coarse or fine, does the following code block use? Is there a possibility of a more efficient locking strategy? Explain.

```
pthread_mutex_t lock;
int avail = MAX_FUNDS;
int local_1 = 0;
int local_2 = 0;
void * fun(void * args){
    int v,i;

    for(i=0; i < 100; i++){
        v = random() % 100;

        pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);

        if(avail - v > 0){
            avail -= v;
        }

        if(random() % 2){
            local_1 += v;
        }else{
            local_2 += v;
        }

        pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
    }

    return NULL;
}
```

10/8/6/3/0

7. Based on the code example from Question 6, fill in locking code to provide a more efficient locking strategy.

```
int avail = MAX_FUNDS;
int local_1 = 0;
int local_2 = 0;
void * fun(void * args){
    int v,i;

    for(i=0; i < 100; i++){
        v = random() % 100;

        if(avail - v > 0){
            avail -= v;
        }

        if(random() % 2){
            local_1 += v;
        }else{
            local_2 += v;
        }
    }
    return NULL;
}
```

5/3/1/0

8. What is deadlock and provide a small (pseudo-)code example of how deadlock can arise from coarse grain locking.

5/3/1/0

9. Provide an example of deadlock avoidance when there is a natural ordering of lockable objects.

10/8/6/3/0 10. Provide a detailed description of the problem setup for the dining philosophers problem:

25/23/20/15/10/5/0

11. In pseudo code, provide a solution to the dining philosophers problem that avoids deadlock:

10/8/6/3/0 12. Explain your solution and argue that it will always avoid deadlocks regardless of the number of philosophers.